Exercise addiction in Mexico: Psychometric properties of the Exercise Addiction Inventory and risk analysis
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Abstract  Introduction: The consequences of exercise addiction have a physical, psychological and social impact on the sports men and women, similar to others addictions, which can cause serious health problems. In Mexico its incidence and relevance are unknown, and there is no instrument for its evaluation. This study aims to analyse the psychometric properties of the Exercise Addiction Inventory in a Mexican context and examine its relevance to different groups of people who practice sport. Method: Participants consisted of 487 people who practice physical exercise or competitive sport, aged between 18 and 52, with a mean age of 22.04. The EAI was used, and reliability analysis, confirmatory factor analysis and factorial invariance analysis were conducted. Differences between groups of participants were also tested. Results: The results demonstrated the unifactorial structure of instrument, with satisfactory goodness of fit indices, and its factorial invariance was confirmed. The reliability obtained was adequate. In addition, significant differences were found between men and women in relation to degree of addiction, there was a higher risk for athletes compared to regular exercise goers, and 26.5% of participants obtained scores that place them at a high risk of exercise addiction. Conclusions: It is concluded that EAI is an adequate and reliable instrument, with appropriate psychometric properties. In the studied population there is an excessively high rate of participants who present a high risk of addiction, and men and athletes are the most susceptible groups to develop an exercise addiction.
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Exercise is a form of physical activity that is carried out in a planned, structured and repetitive manner, and through which a series of positive effects on health are obtained, which reduce the risk of disease (US. Department of Health and Human Services, 2008). However, to achieve the different objectives in relation to exercise practice, some people may exercise without limits, making it counterproductive to what the participant wants to achieve. This led researchers to investigate and accept that, in some cases, exercise can be detrimental to health (Szabo, 1998, 2000) and become an addictive behaviour (Griffiths, 1996, 2002), causing alterations both physically and psychologically (Márquez & De la Vega, 2015; Simón-Grima et al., 2019).

Exercise addiction is characterised by excessive and obsessive exercise patterns, an abnormal dependence that manifests itself in physiological and/or psychological symptoms (Berengüí & Pelegrín, 2018). Exercise addiction has been defined as a strong desire to exercise, becoming more important than other spheres of life, such as family, social relations, work, etc., and is characterized by the lack of control and maintenance of behaviour, despite the negative consequences, causing withdrawal symptoms when spending several days without exercising (Goodman, 1990; Hausenblas & Symons-Downs, 2002; Szabo, 1995, 1998, 2000; Youngman & Simpson, 2014). Therefore, exercise addiction can be considered a real problem with a great impact, because it may lead to risky behaviours (Berengüí et al., 2021).

Taking into account the six general components of addictions (Brown, 1993), which Griffiths (1996, 1997, 2002) adapted to exercise: (1) Salience: occurs when exercise becomes the most important activity in a person’s life and dominates their thoughts, feelings and behaviour; (2) Conflict: between the person and those around him or her (e.g., interpersonal conflict), conflicts with other activities (e.g., work, social life, hobbies and interests), or in the individual themselves (e.g., intrapsychic conflict); (3) Mood modification: refers to experiences that people report as a result of exercising; (4) Tolerance: the process in which a person requires increasing amounts of exercise to achieve desired physical, social, psychological and/or emotional effects; (5) Withdrawal symptoms: these are unpleasant emotional states and/or physical effects that occur when the activity is interrupted or is suddenly reduced; (6) Relapse: a tendency to return to the same amount of exercise patterns after a certain time of enforced inactivity.

Driven by this approach, Terry et al., (2004) created the Exercise Addiction Inventory (EAI), consisting of six items that integrate a single factor, which correspond to those six components of addiction already mentioned. The instrument has been used in various investigations that have provided an empirical basis for the study of exercise addiction worldwide. There are adaptations and studies of their properties in different countries, like the United Kingdom (Griffiths et al., 2005; Terry et al., 2004), Spain (Sicilia et al., 2013; Szabo, et al., 2013), Hungary (Demetrovics & Kurimay, 2008; Kovacsik et al., 2019; Mónok et al., 2012), Denmark (Lichtenstein, Christiansen et al., 2014; Lichtenstein et al., 2018; Lichtenstein, Larsen et al., 2014), Italy (Villella et al., 2011), and Brazil (Sicilia et al., 2017). In these studies, the instrument showed adequate psychometric properties, and confirmed unifactorial validity and appropriate reliability.

We should point out that there are other instruments that measure exercise addiction: the Exercise Dependence Scale - Revised, (EDS-R, Symons-Downs et al., 2004), also validated in different countries (e.g., the Spanish version by Sicilia and González-Cutre, 2011), the Obligatory Exercise Questionnaire (OEQ, Pasman & Thompson, 1988), and the Exercise Dependence Questionnaire (EDQ, Ogden et
al., 1997). The EDS-R and EAI are currently the most widely used instruments, due to their short application time and easy scoring, and have been shown to produce comparable results when used together (Berczik et al., 2012). For the present research, the EAI was used because it is driven by the theory applied to the general addiction criteria in order to investigate exercise addiction in athletes, and is aligned with the new classification system provided by the DSM-V (American Psychiatric Association, 2013).

In Mexico, exercise addiction has not been analysed so far, and due to its important health repercussions, it is necessary to have an instrument adapted to the Mexican context in order to assess addiction risk. Having an appropriate measuring instrument will identify the risk of sports men and women, as well as serve as a basis for creating prevention and intervention programs to reduce suffering from this addiction. This facilitates the reduction of maladaptive behaviours in people’s lives, thus promoting their quality of life. Based on the above, the present study aims to analyse the psychometric properties of the Exercise Addiction Inventory (EAI, Terry et al., 2004) in the Mexican context, and examine its relevance in people who practise sport.

Method

Participants

Four hundred and eighty-seven subjects participated in the study, 240 men (49.3%) and 247 women (50.7%), aged 18 to 52 (M = 22.04, SD = 5.38). Participants were physically active university students (n = 148; 49.0% men and 51.0% women; mean age = 19.71, DT = 2.23), athletes participating in Mexico's National Sports Universiade (n = 195; 40.1% men and 59.9% women; mean age = 21.03; DT = 1.97), and people who perform regular physical exercise several days a week (n = 144; 60.2% men and 39.8% women; mean age = 27.96, DT = 8.74). The average weekly training was 4.46 days (SD = 1.52), and 10.73 (SD = 6.21) hours of training. Disciplines practiced by most participants are fitness and gym activities (n = 72), running (n = 53), basketball (n = 50), track and field (n = 49), and soccer (n = 49).

Instrument

Exercise Addiction Inventory (EAI; Terry et al., 2004). Table 1 shows the six items that make up the instrument and addiction component to which it belongs, according to a modified version of the components of behavioural addiction (Griffiths, 1996). The instrument has a Likert scale response ranging from (1) Strongly Disagree to (5) Strongly Agree. In both studies (Sicilia et al., 2013; Terry et al., 2004), scores of 24 or more indicate a risk of exercise addiction, scores between 13 and 23 mean that the subject has certain symptoms, and scores between 6 and 12 imply the absence of symptoms. EAI has presented adequate psychometric properties, with a reliability (Cronbach’s alpha) of α = .84 for the original scale (Terry et al., 2004), and α = .70 for the Spanish adaptation (Sicilia et al., 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Addiction component</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Exercise is the most important thing in my life. [El ejercicio es la parte más importante de mi vida]</td>
<td>Salience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Conflicts have arisen between me and my family and/or my partner about the amount of exercise I do. [Han surgido conflictos con mi familia y/o mi pareja acerca de la cantidad de ejercicio que realizo]</td>
<td>Conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. I use exercise as a way of changing my mood. [Uso el ejercicio como una forma de cambiar mi estado de ánimo]</td>
<td>Mood modification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Over time I have increased the amount of exercise I do in a day. [Con el tiempo he aumentado la cantidad de ejercicio que hago en un día]</td>
<td>Tolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. If I have to miss an exercise session, I feel moody and irritable. [Si tengo que faltar una sesión de entrenamiento me siento irritable y de mal humor]</td>
<td>Withdrawal symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. If I cut down on the amount of exercise I do, and then start again, I always end up exercising as often as I did before. [Si reduzco la cantidad de ejercicio, y luego vuelvo a empezar, siempre intento hacer tanto ejercicio como hacía]</td>
<td>Relapse</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Procedure

The process started with an analysis of the instrument. This was conducted by four experts in sports psychology, based on the adaptation into Spanish by Sicilia et al. (2013). The content of the items and their appropriateness to the Mexican context was evaluated. There was total agreement on the appropriateness of the items, their content and the cultural and contextual appropriateness of the text.

Subsequently, applications were made in order to obtain the sample of each group other than the population. They were informed only about the purpose of the research, and they were given informed consent, in which the confidentiality of their data and of the scientific use of information was established in writing. Data collection was carried out at three different times. First, during the National Universiade Sports Competition event between public and private universities in the country. Subsequently, in classrooms of different faculties of the Autonomous University of Nuevo Leon (Monterrey, Mexico). Thirdly, in different private running clubs and gyms in the metropolitan area of Nuevo Leon, Mexico.

The study was conducted according to the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki, and approved by the Research Coordination of the Faculty of Sports Organization, Autonomous University of Nuevo Leon (registration number: RE-PRIN-FOD-62; date of approval: 29 February 2020).
Data analysis

Different statistical analyses were performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics 24 package. Descriptive statistics were obtained, including asymmetry and kurtosis of items, internal consistency analysis (Cronbach's Alpha), and factor invariance analysis according to gender. For the correlations analysis between items and between EIA scores and age, training days and hours, a Pearson coefficient was used. To examine gender differences a student's t-test was used, to analyse differences between groups of practitioners, an analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Bonferroni post hoc analysis were performed, and an eta square statistic ($\eta^2$) was used to quantify differences magnitude.

Confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) was performed through the LISREL v8.8 program (Jöreskog & Sörbom, 2006). The indicated model fit was checked from different indices: chi-square and the degrees of freedom, Root Mean Square Error of Approximation (RMSEA), Comparative Fit Index (CFI), Non-Normed Fit Index (NNFI), TLI (Tucker-Lewis Index), and SRMR (Standardized Root Mean Square Residual).

Results

Descriptive statistics, correlation analysis and internal consistency analysis.

The results of the descriptive statistics of the items are shown in Table 2, where the results show that the average of the items ranges between 2.34 and 3.39, and the standard deviation between 1.23 and 1.47. For asymmetry and kurtosis, they reflect a normal distribution, and are within the accepted parameters [-2, 2].

Pearson's correlation analysis (see Table 2) showed positive and significant associations between all items ($p < .01$). Likewise, reliability analysis and internal consistency was performed through Cronbach's alpha coefficient, in which an adequate result was obtained ($\alpha = .81$).

Confirmatory factor analysis

A confirmatory factor analysis was performed where the indices of goodness of fit which assessed the adequacy of the model were satisfactory: $\chi^2 = 31.57; df = 9; \text{RMSEA} = .065; \text{CFI} = .982; \text{NNFI} = .977; \text{TLI} = .970; \text{SRMR} = .047$. The factorial loads of items were greater than .50, which are within the accepted parameters.

Analysis of factorial invariance across gender.

An analysis of scale factorial invariance was performed to study the equality of the model according to gender. Results revealed a good model fit for both sexes, with relatively equal model fit statistics. Table 3 shows results obtained in different models tested.

Differences in the value of $\chi^2$ were observed between the unrestricted model and the following models: measurement weights, structural covariances and measurement residues. Although this value exceeds that required to accept the invariance hypothesis. GFI = .967, CFI = .939, RMSEA = .074 and AIC = 120.138 indices, allow us to accept base invariance model (unrestricted model). Figure 1 shows confirmatory factor analysis and factorial invariance of Exercise Addiction Inventory in men and women.

Risk analysis and group differences.

Regarding estimates of addiction prevalence, 26.5% of the sample ($n = 129$) obtained a total score of 24 or more, which classified them as being at risk of having an exercise addiction, 56.5% ($n = 275$) obtained scores of between 12 and 23, which classified them as a symptomatic group, and 17.0% ($n = 83$) had scores between 6 and 12, which classified them as being atic (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Asymmetry</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>-.26</td>
<td>-.81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>-1.08</td>
<td>.26**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>-.66</td>
<td>-.63</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>.25**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>-.44</td>
<td>-.99</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.28**</td>
<td>.54**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>-1.31</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.48**</td>
<td>.45**</td>
<td>.50**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>-.32</td>
<td>-.99</td>
<td>.42**</td>
<td>.29**</td>
<td>.49**</td>
<td>.58**</td>
<td>.52**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAI</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>.97</td>
<td></td>
<td>.68**</td>
<td>.61**</td>
<td>.73**</td>
<td>.77**</td>
<td>.79**</td>
<td>.76**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ** $p = 0.01$

Table 3 Goodness of fit indices for models tested in factorial invariance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>df</th>
<th>CFI</th>
<th>GFI</th>
<th>RMSEA</th>
<th>SRMR</th>
<th>AIC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted model</td>
<td>72.138</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>.939</td>
<td>.953</td>
<td>.074</td>
<td>.062</td>
<td>120.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement weights</td>
<td>75.076</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>.941</td>
<td>.951</td>
<td>.068</td>
<td>.064</td>
<td>113.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structural covariances</td>
<td>84.982</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>.931</td>
<td>.945</td>
<td>.072</td>
<td>.084</td>
<td>120.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement residues</td>
<td>95.208</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>.929</td>
<td>.937</td>
<td>.067</td>
<td>.087</td>
<td>119.208</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $\chi^2$ = chi-square; df = degrees of freedom; CFI = Comparative Fit Index; GFI = Goodness of Fit Index; RMSEA = Root Mean Square Error of Approximation; SRMR = Standardized Root Mean Square Residual; AIC = Akaike Information Criterion.
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Concerning correlation analysis, there are significant correlations between EAI scores and training days ($r = .364$; $p < .001$), and training hours ($r = .328$; $p < .001$). Correlation is negative between risk scores and age ($r = -.299$; $p < .001$).

Regarding gender variables, significant differences were found between men and women in relation to degree of addiction ($t_{485} = 2.971; p < .01$). Men have an average of 19.54 in EAI ($SD = 5.22$) and women 17.98 ($SD = 6.30$). Based on the proportion of men and women in risk groups, there are frequency differences between both sexes ($\chi^2 = 14,820; p < .001$). The percentage of exercise addiction risk is higher in men (27.92%) compared to women (25.10%). Likewise, men report a higher percentage in symptomatic addiction (61.66%), unlike women (51.42%). Also, women have a higher percentage in the asymptomatic grade (23.48%) compared to men (10.42%). No significant differences were found between men and women regarding days and hours dedicated to training.

Likewise, through ANOVA a comparison was made between physical-sports practice groups and degree of addiction (Table 4), there being significant differences among groups [$F(2,484) = 54,825; p < .001$], and effect size is large. Post hoc analyses revealed differences between students and practitioners ($l\cdot J = 4.688; p < .001$), and between athletes and students ($l\cdot J = 5.852; p < .001$). There were no differences between regular practitioners and athletes. Differences between groups were also recorded in terms of days and hours of weekly training.

### Discussion

The purpose of this study was to analyse the psychometric properties of the Exercise Addiction Inventory (EAI; Terry et al., 2004) in the Mexican context, and examine its relevance in terms of exercise and sports practitioners.

Through different analyses, EAI achieves appropriate psychometric properties. Reliability of inventory was acceptable and superior to that obtained in adaptations to other languages and countries, such as Hungary (Mónok et al., 2012), Spain (Silicia et al., 2013) and Denmark (Lichtenstein, Christiansen et al., 2014), and is similar to that obtained with the original scale in the British context (Terry et al., 2004).

Based on instrument factorial validity, we agree with the authors of previous studies that support the EAI unidimensional nature (Griffiths et al., 2005; Griffiths et al., 2015; Kovacsik et al., 2019; Lichtenstein, Christiansen et al., 2014; Lichtenstein, Larsen et al., 2014; Lichtenstein et al., 2018; Mónok et al., 2012; Szabo et al., 2013; Terry et al., 2004).

Confirmatory factor analysis reflected acceptable indices for the model and factor loads greater than .50 (Hu & Bentler, 1999; Wheaton et al., 1977). The invariance was also confirmed. Although invariance has usually been evaluated through the calculation of differences obtained in $\chi^2$, in this study the criteria for evaluation of Cheung and Rensvold (2002) models are followed, which recommend evaluating the difference in CFI values. If the CFI difference calculation of both tested models decreases by .01 or less, the restricted model is considered good, and therefore

### Table 4: Exercise addiction differences among practitioner groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Asymptomatic (n = 83)</th>
<th>Symptomatic (n = 275)</th>
<th>Addiction risk (n = 129)</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>$\eta^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exercise Addiction (EAI)</td>
<td>M = 9.42, SD = 2.25</td>
<td>M = 18.25, SD = 2.59</td>
<td>M = 25.81, SD = 2.22</td>
<td>1143.291</td>
<td>.000 .825</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training days</td>
<td>2.90, 1.04</td>
<td>4.39, 1.48</td>
<td>4.95, 1.40</td>
<td>18.987</td>
<td>.000 .094</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly hours</td>
<td>6.04, 5.39</td>
<td>10.55, 6.14</td>
<td>12.26, 6.04</td>
<td>11.777</td>
<td>.000 .064</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students (n = 148)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise Addiction (EAI)</td>
<td>M = 15.02, SD = 5.67</td>
<td>M = 19.71, SD = 5.10</td>
<td>M = 20.87, SD = 5.12</td>
<td>54.825</td>
<td>.000 .185</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training days</td>
<td>3.13, 1.48</td>
<td>4.65, 1.41</td>
<td>4.81, 1.39</td>
<td>33.604</td>
<td>.000 .140</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly hours</td>
<td>8.01, 5.82</td>
<td>9.02, 4.88</td>
<td>13.12, 6.36</td>
<td>31.485</td>
<td>.000 .133</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regular practitioners (n = 144)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise Addiction (EAI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athletes (n = 195)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise Addiction (EAI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training days</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weekly hours</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
complies with invariance. In this case, the value of ΔCFI is less than .01.

Concerning the estimates of exercise addiction prevalence in the Mexican context, it was identified that 26.5% of the participants are at risk of suffering from exercise addiction, according to the addiction rates of Terry et al. (2004). This percentage is an important contribution to the study of exercise addiction, since no scientific publications were found in a Mexican context in which similar parameters were obtained. According Terry et al. (2004), scores of 24 or higher in EAI were reached by 3% of subjects, and 14.9% in the Silicia et al. (2013) study; although, a high percentage of the present study is evident. One explanation for this high rate may be related to the age of the participants, mostly university students and young people. The results of this study are consistent with previous studies on a negative relationship between age and addiction (Simón-Grima et al., 2019, 2021), in the sense that as the subject’s age increases, his or her exercise addiction decreases. For example, Szabo and Griffiths (2007) found more addiction symptoms in students (19-23 years old), than in the general exercising population (17-74 years), and what’s more, Garman et al. (2004) also obtained results that reported a high incidence of exercise addiction among students in Health and Physical Education.

However, prevalence analysis in this study field is very complex. Prevalence in different studies range between 0.3-0.5% for the general population (Mónok et al., 2012) and 42.5% in gym attenders (Bruno et al., 2014). The systematic review by Marques et al. (2018) concluded that people who exercised regularly, showed a prevalence of addiction between 1.9 - 42.0%, non-sports university students between 3 - 21.4%, athletes between 1.4 - 17.0%, and the general population between 0.3 - 6.4%. But we must consider that this disparity in results may be due to many factors. So, for example, research has analysed addiction based on different meanings of the concept, a multitude of varied evaluation instruments have been used, and the populations and samples analysed have been very diverse. Therefore, taking into account design and particular characteristics of the sample in this study, percentages should be taken with caution.

On the other hand, existing differences according to gender were analysed, revealing that men are more susceptible of being at risk of exercise addiction, which supports the results obtained by Lichtenstein, Christiansen et al. (2014). Also, as for different participant groups, it was found that athletes are more susceptible to addiction risk compared to students and people who do physical exercise. This result is contrary to what was found by Szabo and Griffiths (2007).

It is concluded that EAI is confirmed as an adequate and reliable instrument, with appropriate psychometric properties.

However, this study found several limitations. Firstly, we can mention the lack of scientific studies in our context to identify discriminant validity through use of other scales to identify the concept in the Mexican context. Another study limitation was the wide variety in type of physical exercise performed by study participants. Finally, an important limitation was the scarce scientific evidence of studies in Mexican context that use the EAI scale.

It is suggested that for future research, the following should be taken into account: (1) compare EAI with other instruments that measure exercise addiction and related constructs, (2) perform corresponding analysis to assess the cut-off point to limit and discriminate when people are at risk of suffering from addiction, and when symptoms are present or not, since this study was based on cut-off points established for the British and Spanish context.
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